

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/691,197	SATO, BUNYA	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Edward H. Tso	2838	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Pending

 (1) Edward H. Tso.

(3) _____.

 (2) Allison Schwartz.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 November 2005
Time: _____.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

possible 35 USC 103

Claims discussed:

original claim 1

Prior art documents discussed:

US 6,859,365
Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner found the above reference which could read on claim 1 as a possible obviousness-type rejection under 35 USC 103. See column 7, lines 15-21 and column 8, line 40-55 of said reference. The Examiner suggested to combine claim 2 into claim 1 to overcome the reference..